

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/889,404	SCHWAHN ET AL.
	Examiner Cephia D. Toomer	Art Unit 1714

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Cephia D. Toomer.

(3) _____

(2) The receptionist at Keil and Weinkauf.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 October 2004

Time: approximately 10:45

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner inquired if applicant had abandoned the application or if an amendment had been filed. The reception took down the inventor's name, serial number and docket number and said that she would call the examiner back with an answer to the question. The examiner waited until 10/16/04 but did not receive a phone call back.